

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL
AT NAINITAL**

Writ Petition No. 161 (SS) of 2007

1. Surendra Singh Rawat, S/o Sri Hayat Singh, R/o House No. 27, Khanjarpur, Roorkee, District –Hardwar.
2. Narbahadur Ale, S/o Sri Beerbahadur Ale, R/o Ashok Nagar, Shivji Colony, Milap Nagar, Roorkee, District – Hardwar.
3. Vijay Singh Rawat, S/o Sri Jodh Singh, R/o Ashok Nagar, Shivji Colony, Milap Nagar, Roorkee, District – Hardwar.
4. Dhan Singh Bisht, S/o Sri Ram Singh Bisht, R/o Subhash Nagar, Roorkee, District – Hardwar.

.....**Petitioners.**

Versus

1. Director, Central Building Research Institute, Roorkee, District – Hardwar.
2. Controller of Administration, Central Building Research Institute, Roorkee, District – Hardwar.

.....**Respondents**

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Ms. N.S. Negi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Pradeep Joshi, learned Standing Counsel for Union of India on behalf of respondents.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioners have prayed for the following reliefs:

1. *Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondents to pay the same wages i.e. Rs. 3405.14 to the petitioners as is being paid to the counter part on the post of guard who has been appointed on contract basis through Contractor.*

2. *issue a suitable writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.*

3. *award the costs of the petition in favour of the petitioner.*

The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that petitioners have already made representation, raising all their grievances, on 11.09.2006 before Director, Central Building Research Institute, Roorkee and the same is annexed as Annexure No. 4 to the writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that more than six months have passed but no action has been taken by respondent no. 1.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners has confined his prayer that writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 1 to decide the representation of the petitioners which is annexed as Annexure No. 4 to the writ petition within stipulated time.

In the circumstances stated above, I deem fit and proper to direct respondent no. 1 i.e. Director, Central Building Research Institute, Roorkee, Hardwar to take decision on the representation of the petitioners, which is annexed as Annexure No. 4 to the writ petition within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

With this direction, writ petition as well as interim relief application no. nil of 2007 is finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J)

March 14, 2007
SKsharma